



# Geometric inequalities on the Heisenberg group

Kinga Sipos

University of Bern  
kinga.sipos@math.unibe.ch

MAnET Midterm Meeting,  
Helsinki, 8-9 December 2015

- 1 Definition of the Brunn-Minkowski, Prékopa-Leindler and Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequalities
- 2 Proof of the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in the euclidean case
  - Proof for normalized functions  $f, g$  and  $p = -\frac{1}{n}$
- 3 Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality on the Riemannian manifolds
- 4 N. Juillet's disproval of existence for some types of Brunn-Minkowski inequality
- 5 Further ideas / possibilities
- 6 Bibliography

## ...in the euclidean case

## Brunn-Minkowski inequality

$| (1-s)A + sB |^{1/n} \geq (1-s)|A|^{1/n} + s|B|^{1/n}$  for all  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  Borel sets,  
 $s \in [0, 1]$

## Prékopa-Leindler inequality

Let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable functions and fix  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

$h((1-s)x + sy) \geq f(x)^{1-s}g(y)^s, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h \geq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\right)^{1-s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\right)^s$

## Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality

Let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable functions, fix  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \geq -\frac{1}{n}$ .

$h((1-s)x + sy) \geq M_s^p(f(x), g(y)), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \int h \geq M_s^{\frac{p}{1+np}} (\int f, \int g),$

where  $M_s^p(a, b) = ((1-s)a^p + sb^p)^{1/p}$ , for any  $a, b > 0, p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $M_s^0(a, b) = a^{1-s}b^s$ , which is obtained from  $M_s^p(a, b)$  by  $p \rightarrow 0$ .

## Relation between the BM, PL and BBL inequalities

Observe that:

- Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality  $\Rightarrow$  Prékopa-Leindler inequality

As  $M_s^0(a, b) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} M_s^p(s, b) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} ((1-s)a^p + sb^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = a^{1-s}b^s$ , for all  $a, b > 0$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , PL can be obtained by setting  $p = 0$  in BBL.

- Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality  $\Rightarrow$  Brunn-Minkowski inequality

Choosing  $f, g$  and  $h$  to be the characteristic functions of the Borel sets  $A, B$ , respectively  $Z_s(A, B)$ , these functions satisfy the condition of the BBL inequality, which implies that

$$|Z_s(A, B)|^{1/n} \geq (1-s)|A|^{1/n} + s|B|^{1/n}.$$

## ...in case of the Heisenberg group

How to define the intermediate points?

(Let  $s \in [0, 1]$  be fixed.)

- in the euclidean case for the  $s$ -intermediate point associated to the pointpair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we use the convex combination  $(1 - s)x + sy$

## ...in case of the Heisenberg group

How to define the intermediate points?

(Let  $s \in [0, 1]$  be fixed.)

- in the euclidean case for the  $s$ -intermediate point associated to the pointpair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we use the convex combination  $(1 - s)x + sy$
- with the Heisenberg group operator  $(*)$  and  $\lambda$ -dilation  $(\rho_\lambda)$  an  $s$ -intermediate point associated to the pointpair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n$  can be defined as  $\rho_{1-s}(x) * \rho_s(y)$

## ...in case of the Heisenberg group

How to define the intermediate points?

(Let  $s \in [0, 1]$  be fixed.)

- in the euclidean case for the  $s$ -intermediate point associated to the pointpair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we use the convex combination  $(1 - s)x + sy$
- with the Heisenberg group operator  $(*)$  and  $\lambda$ -dilation  $(\rho_\lambda)$  an  $s$ -intermediate point associated to the pointpair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n$  can be defined as  $\rho_{1-s}(x) * \rho_s(y)$
- with the help of geodesics an  $s$ -intermediate point between  $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$  can be defined as that point on the geodesic connecting the two points, which divides the geodesic in segments with ratio  $s : (1 - s)$

## ... in case of the Heisenberg group

- When  $y \in \text{cut}(x)$ , the geodesic from  $x$  to  $y$  is not uniquely defined.
- Let's introduce the notation  $Z_s(x, y)$  for the set of  $s$ -intermediate points associated to  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n$ :

$$Z_s(x, y) = \{z \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid d(x, z) = sd(x, y) \text{ and } d(z, y) = (1 - s)d(x, y)\}$$

- For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  define

$$Z_s(A, B) = \bigcup_{(x, y) \in A \times B} Z_s(x, y)$$



## ...in case of the Heisenberg group

## Brunn-Minkowski inequality

$|Z_s(A, B)|^{1/d} \geq (1-s)|A|^{1/d} + s|B|^{1/d}$  for all  $A, B \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  Borel sets,  $s \in [0, 1]$

## Prékopa-Leindler inequality

Let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable functions and fix  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

$h(z) \geq f(x)^{1-s}g(y)^s, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n, z \in Z_s(x, y) \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} h \geq \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f \right)^{1-s} \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} g \right)^s$

## Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality

Let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable functions, fix  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \geq -\frac{1}{d}$ .  $h(z) \geq M_s^p(f(x), g(y)), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n, z \in Z_s(x, y) \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} h \geq M_s^{\frac{p}{1+dp}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f, \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} g \right)$$

# ... in case of the Heisenberg group

How to choose  $d$ ?

Use for  $d$

- the topological dimension  $2n + 1$ ?
- the homogenous dimension  $2n + 2$ ?
- something else?

# Sketch

- Proof for normalized functions  $f, g$  and  $p = -\frac{1}{n}$ .

## Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for normalized functions

Let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable functions with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g = 1$ .  
Fix  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

$$h((1-s)x + sy) \geq M_s^{-\frac{1}{n}}(f(x), g(y)), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h \geq 1$$

- Rescaling argument.

- Proof of the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in the euclidean case

- Proof for normalized functions  $f, g$  and  $p = -\frac{1}{n}$

- Notation:  $\text{supp}(f) = X$ ,  $\text{supp}(g) = Y$ ,  $\mu = f dx$ ,  $\nu = g dy$ .
- Consider a convex function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $S = \nabla\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S\#\mu = \nu$ .
- Consider the displacement interpolant measure of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ :  
 $[\mu, \nu]_s = (S_s)\#\mu$  with probability density  $\rho_s$ , where  
 $S_s = (1-s)Id + s\nabla\varphi$ .
- By the concavity of the  $\det(\cdot)^{1/n}$  function over symmetric, positive-semidefinite matrices

$$\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n} \geq (1-s)(\det(I_n))^{1/n} + s(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n}.$$

- Monge-Ampère for  $f$  and  $\rho_s$ :  $f(x) = \rho_s(S_s(x))\text{Jac}(S_s)(x)$ ,  $\mu$  - a.e  $x$ , where  $\text{Jac}(S_s)(x) = \det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}\varphi(x))$ .
- Monge-Ampère for  $f$  and  $g$ :  $f(x) = g(S(x))\text{Jac}(S)(x)$ ,  $\mu$  - a.e  $x$ , where  $\text{Jac}(S)(x) = \det(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))$ .

└ Proof of the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in the euclidean case

└ Proof for normalized functions  $f, g$  and  $p = -\frac{1}{n}$ 

- $\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n} \geq (1-s)(\det(I_n))^{1/n} + s(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n}$
- $f(x) = \rho_s(S_s(x))\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}\varphi(x))$
- $f(x) = g(S(x))\det(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))$

└ Proof of the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in the euclidean case

└ Proof for normalized functions  $f, g$  and  $p = -\frac{1}{n}$

- $\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n} \geq (1-s)(\det(I_n))^{1/n} + s(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n}$
- $f(x) = \rho_s(S_s(x))\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}\varphi(x))$
- $f(x) = g(S(x))\det(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{f(x)}{\rho_s(x)}\right)^{1/n} &\geq (1-s) + s\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(S(x))}\right)^{1/n} \\ (\rho_s(x))^{-1/n} &\geq (1-s)(f(x))^{-1/n} + sg(S(x))^{-1/n} \\ \rho_s(x) &\leq M_s^{-1/n}(f(x), g(S(x))) \end{aligned}$$

- └ Proof of the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in the euclidean case

- └ Proof for normalized functions  $f, g$  and  $p = -\frac{1}{n}$

- $\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n} \geq (1-s)(\det(I_n))^{1/n} + s(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))^{1/n}$
- $f(x) = \rho_s(S_s(x))\det((1-s)I_n + s\text{Hess}\varphi(x))$
- $f(x) = g(S(x))\det(\text{Hess}(\varphi(x)))$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{f(x)}{\rho_s(x)}\right)^{1/n} \geq (1-s) + s\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(S(x))}\right)^{1/n}$$

$$(\rho_s(x))^{-1/n} \geq (1-s)(f(x))^{-1/n} + sg(S(x))^{-1/n}$$

$$\rho_s(x) \leq M_s^{-1/n}(f(x), g(S(x))) \leq h(Z_s(x, S(x))) \mu - a.e x$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h \geq 1$$

- On the Riemannian manifold  $M$ , the optimal transport map pushing  $\mu$  forward to  $\nu$  can be written, as  $S(x) = \exp_x(-\nabla\varphi(x))$ , where  $\varphi$  is a  $c$ -concave function relative to the support of  $f$  and  $g$ .
- $S_s$  can be defined in point  $x$  as  $\exp_x(-s\nabla\varphi(x))$ .
- The Jacobian determinant of  $S_s$  satisfies the following inequality for  $\mu - a.e. x$

$$(\text{Jac}(S_s)(x))^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq (1-s)(v_{1-s}(S(x), x))^{\frac{1}{n}} + s(v_t(x, S(x)))^{\frac{1}{n}} (\text{Jac}(S)(x))^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where the appearing volume distortion coefficient is defined as

$$v_s(x, y) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{vol}(Z_t(x, B(y, r)))}{\text{vol}(B(y, sr))}, \quad \forall x, y \in M \text{ and } s \in (0, 1].$$



## Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality on the Riemannian manifolds

Let  $M$  be a continuously curved,  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Fix  $p \geq -\frac{1}{n}$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be three measurable functions,  $A$  and  $B$  two Borel sets of  $M$  such that  $\text{supp} f \subset A, \text{supp} g \subset B$  and assume that

$$h(z) \geq M_s^p \left( \frac{f(x)}{v_{1-s}(y, x)}, \frac{g(y)}{v_s(x, y)} \right) \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in A \times B, z \in Z_s(x, y).$$

Then

$$\int_M h \geq M_s^{\frac{p}{1+np}} \left( \int_M f, \int_M g \right).$$

N. Juillet disproves the existence of

- geodesic Brunn-Minkowski inequality in any dimension.
- multiplicative Brunn-Minkowski for any dimension less than the topological dimension of the Heisenberg group.

## Bad news:

- In the Heisenberg group the optimal transport map doesn't assure the existence of such a determinant inequality that we used for the proof in the euclidean case and in the riemannian case, therefore to prove the Broell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality the same approach cannot be used.
- Juillet's disproval

## Good news:

- We know from D. Cordero-Erasquin, R. J. McCann, M. Schmuckenschläger, that on the Riemannian manifolds a weighted Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality holds.
- L. Ambrosio and S. Rigot consider a Riemannian approximation for the Heisenberg group from the point of view of the optimal mass transportation approach.
- Using these two results we try to formulate a Borell-Brascap-Lieb inequality on the Heisenberg group, which can be obtained as a limit Riemannian Borell-Brascap-Lieb inequalities.

# References I



[L. Ambrosio, S. Rigot](#)

Optimal mass transportation in the Heisenberg group, *Journal of Functional Analysis* Volume 208, Issue 2 (2004), 261-301.



[D. Cordero-Erasquin, R. J. McCann, M. Schmuckenschläger](#)

A Riemannian interpolation inequality à la Borell, Brascamp and Lieb, *Inventiones mathematicae* 146 (2), (2001), 219-257.



[N. Juillet](#)

Geometric Inequalities and Generalized Ricci Bounds in the Heisenberg Group, *Int Math Res Notices* (2009), vol. 13



[C. Villani](#)

Topics in Optimal Transportation, AMS (2003).

Thank you!